

Minutes
Okanagan Sustainable Forest Management Plan
Public Advisory Group Meeting
Best Western – Vernon Lodge
April 9, 2009
9:30am – 3:00pm

Attendees

Lorne Bradley	Keith Boulter	Paul Ross
Randy Hardy	Kerry Rouck	Chris Bradford
Mike Watkins	Brian Drobe	Dave Gill
Rob Kennett	Scott Smith	Patti Hansen
Don Guild	Ed Sims	Renee Clark
James Moller	Barry Beadman	Grant Thompson
Mark Hopkins (Facilitator)		

1.0 Introductions and Agenda Review

Following the self-introduction of the PAG members, the agenda was reviewed, no new additions were noted.

A “Minute of Silence” was observed for the recent loss of Juergen Hansen, a valued contributor to the dialogue on sustainable forest management in the Okanagan.

2.0 Action Items from Previous Meeting (Nov 27, 2008)

Updates to existing Action Items are described on the attached “action tracker”, new action items are described below:

Action Item (new): Suggested that the facilitator check on the status of Morrie Thomas as he has not attended the last few meetings.

Action Item 25 Paul Ross listed the range of possible treatment options (ignoring economic constraints) for MPB in watersheds as had been suggested at the last PAG meeting. Paul noted that some items even ignoring the costs are not desirable (e.g. MSMA use in watersheds).

Brian D: Commented that often the difficulty of effective implementation is the landbase constraints like Visuals, creeks and wildlife.

Renee C: That MoF put on a workshop for MPB mgmt. in retention zones and workzones around reservoirs, reservoirs are generally not classified as class "A" lakes. Some are classed as “B’s” and there is a constant push for recognition.

Kerry R: Noted that in many cases a lake unaffected by MPB becomes a *de facto* class “A” lake even though it may be classed lower.

Renee: Would like to see mgmt. zones managed - access in particular.

Mike: Does the range of possible treatments include conversion to non-pine stands?

Paul: No.

Mike: Concerned that we are focusing too closely on individual cases at the expense of the broader picture.

James: Is there a different answer to the range of viable options when the trees are no longer green?

Paul: Yes, The economics of bio-energy are becoming more attractive and much of the wood has potential use in that field.

Action Item 26 (update)

Patti: There are a range of simple monitoring tools out there, but their utility is somewhat limited. Things like Total Organic Carbon, turbidity, etc.

Kerry: Gorman's has been looking at a water quality monitoring program, but how do you transfer the measurement back to a cause(s)

James: there are many opportunities to collect info, best at crossings

Brian: Penticton Cr. Study showed that most of the H2O quality impacts occur within the first 2 years following the activity. That's why new roads get more scrutiny than older roads

Renee: I agree that maintenance is a big part of the program.

Lorne: noted that many small water consumers monitor quality to pick up any problems ASAP.

Scott: asked Renee if total Carbon is being monitored now, she responded yes because of the interaction with Chlorine in treatment, currently difficult to tie back to specific forestry practices. Carbon levels are generally highest during Spring freshet. But we can track spikes in turbidity from specific events.

Paul: would water purveyors contact the forest companies when a spike occurs?

Renee: Yes, have done so with BCTS.

Action Item (New): each Licencee should provide contact information to the water purveyors in the event of a spike in turbidity or other exceeded objective.

Mike: Does the MoF have the specific information for contacting licencees in the event of an incident? Do they know who is operating where?

Dave G.: unsure as to how much detail the MoF has on file.

Mike: would like to see broader communication amongst the involved parties.

James/Paul: Agree that the more eyes/ears on the ground sharing information, the better.

Renee: commented that they may not have enough information to location sedimentation events, but licencees can narrow the search with local knowledge.

Patti: We have a summer student coming to do a turbidity monitoring program over the summer, focusing on the Oyama Lake area and downstream.

3.0 Review of the 2008 Monitoring Report Findings

Indicator 1 - Representation of Seral Stage Distribution by Natural Disturbance Type

Mike: is there a timetable to progress to "old" age classes?

Kerry: looking at the area of forest in the upper age classes of the "mature" category, the old targets should be achieved within 20 years or so

Don: How do we know that we have sufficient OGMA's, how sound is the science behind the targets? Kerry: Not very sound the targets were negotiated as part of the LRMP process.

Don: It will be interesting to see how successful the OGMA's are in the longer term.

Paul: Lots of OGMA's located to encompass Riparian, Old and or rare ecosystems.

Indicator 2

- **Incidents of harvesting in rare ecosystems**
- **Non-compliance with the Identified Wildlife Management Strategy (IWMS)
Non-compliance with OSLRMP/KBLUP strategies for identified wildlife.**

Mike: Are there any new "known" rare ecosystems out there?

Paul: through the LRMP process many of the "known" rare ecosystems were picked up in the original OGMA's. The wording "known" is not in-step with current legislation. Replaced under FRPA by the term Identified Wildlife Management Areas IWMA's

Action Item: The outdated terminology in the current plan and report needs to be updated in the re-write.

Question: What is "GAR" mentioned in the Report.

Answer: Government Action Regulation a simplified means of enacting changes to regulations.

Indicator 3 - Report On Total Area Of Clearcuts By Size Categories.

Question: Is the heavy emphasis on clearcut and clearcut with reserves harvesting rather than on selective harvest due to the pine beetle outbreak?

Answer: yes, pine – the primary host does not regenerate will in selective harvest operations.

Indicator 4 - Riparian Management Areas (As Per The FPC, FRPA And The Approved OSLRMP/KBLUP) For Wetlands, Lakes And Streams.

Rob: Tolko reported results differently than in previous years. In the past they reported on the absence of a plan, this year incidents were included even when they were in compliance with a plan. This is partial explanation of higher numbers this year.

Renee: How do you measure sediments?

Rob: visual estimation based on turbidity.

Paul: 1 of the 4 incidents this year was outside of a Cutblock. People not previously focused on these areas.

Indicator 5

- **Percent Of Cutblocks Requiring A Site Plan With Associated Wildlife Tree Retention (Patches And/Or Individual Trees)**
- **Percent Of Harvested Cutblocks That Contain An Average Of 2-5 Stubs Or Standing Trees Per Hectare, Giving Consideration To Spatial Distribution**

Question: Why the decrease in the number of blocks with 2-5 stubs/ha/

Answer: Partly because of the higher numbers of small blocks where stub tree attributes thought to be met in adjacent areas of standing forest.

Indicator 6 - Percent Of Cutblocks Where Management Of Coarse Woody Debris (CWD) Is Consistent With Plans.

Mike: High level of compliance noted, is there anything specifically being done differently by licencees now?

Paul: not piling debris as often now, found ways to plant successfully through heavy slash

Rob K.: noted hat when pulp markets are up there tends to be more material removed from the sites.

Kerry: noted that CWD is a practice requirement.

Action Item: Ensure that indicator 6 is specifically reviewed for regulatory relevance during SFM re-write process.

Indicator 7 - Percent Of Harvested Cutblocks Having Three Or More Tree Species Identified In The Free Growing Inventory.

Mike: Will these results change given the pending climate change data?

Paul: Don't know.

Scott: Why the difference between the 6400 ha surveyed and the 11,000 harvested (shown in Indicator 3)

Paul: The harvested area often varies significantly from year to year due to markets, insects, uplifts, etc.

Rob: Also, there have been numerous new NRFL's issued in the past few years where harvesting has commenced, but they are not yet old enough to be surveyed for FG.

Dave: BCTS has many blocks in same situation. Noted: that the free-growing window is wide for scheduling surveys. BCTS had a contactor show up contributing to shortfall in surveyed ha's.

Indicator 8 - Forest Age Class Distribution

Results reviewed and noted, no further discussion ensued.

Indicator 9 - Percent Of Planted Area For The Current Planting Year Regenerated In Accordance With Seed Transfer Guidelines.

Results reviewed and noted, no further discussion ensued.

Indicator 10 - Protected Ecosystems

Scott: Of what utility is this indicator?

Action Item: Should consider re-write of this indicator under the Z809-08 standard or its removal to the text of the SFM Plan. Noted that it may be a core requirement of the new standard.

Indicator 11 - Amount Of Time For Road Cut And Fill Slope Re-Vegetation Application (Control Of Noxious Weed)

Rob: Tolko not happy with the results, which he believes was completed, but the records were lacking to “prove” it.

Definitely an issue, it is being worked on.

Mike: would like to see the indicator revised to monitor not just the application of seed, but the success. If not in this indicator, then another.

Brian: Weyerhaeuser’s staff monitors the seed “take” as part of the regular inspections but it is not recorded.

Scott: I would like to see the success monitored and reported as well.

Indicator 12 - Annual Percent Of Opening Areas In Permanent Access Structures.

Results reviewed - no further discussion other than to note that there is an error in the graph. The ‘bar’ for 2008 shows a gross harvest area in excess of 16,000ha. the correct area is 12,727ha as indicated in the text

Indicator 13 - Managing Water Quality

Gorman had the only medium risk crossing. This was a cable harvest crossing. Staff had not yet had training in the classification of such areas. This was an area of difficult terrain.

Indicator 14 - Number Of Induced Slides Resulting From Forest Management Activities (>.1 Ha) Originating In Or Adjacent To Harvested Areas Or Inspected Permanent Roads

Paul: most of the problems relate to old roads built under the old standards, at the last PAG meeting we decided to report on all incidents, not just those meeting the strict definition of the Indicator. The “Dirt Road” slide could be considered as “current operations”. The Tsuis slide was within the Tolko operating area, but Tolko does not have maintenance responsibility on that Rd.

Scott S.: For the most part it seems that things are being done fairly well.

Indicator 15 Percent Of Harvest Priorities Related To Forest Health Completed By Date Set Out In Strategies

Results reviewed - no further discussion ensued

Indicator 16

- **Percent Of Cutblock Area Planned For Planting Is Completed Before Or During The Second Complete Growing Season**
- **Percent Of Naturally Regenerated Cutblock Area Not Meeting The Natural Regeneration Delay**

Results reviewed - no further discussion ensued, other than Tolko comment that their areas not meeting the target were very close to doing so.

Indicator 17 - Percentage Of Cutblock Area That Meets Free Growing Requirements On Or Before The Latest Date.

Dave G.: explained that the 210 ha not meeting the Late Free-growing date were mainly on a “TSL Major” a form of tenure no longer issued. Should not be a problem in future years. About ½ of the shortfall was due to delays caused by snow and related access to the blocks.

Rob K.: In Tolko’s case although the target FG date was not met. The areas were in fact found to be free-growing.

Scott: Are herbicides used outside of the blocks, for such things as road-side brush control etc? Licences: No, Although a lot of other organizations do use them: utilities, ranchers, parks, regional districts, etc. Kerry was aware of at least one herbicide project for the control of Hounds tongue and Knapweed.

Scott: Commented that Glyphosates’ risk to mammals is generally higher than historically cited. In addition, he would like to see similar information from agricultural users of pesticides

Indicator 18 - Report On Access Management Commitments Contained In Forest Development Plans (FDP’s)/Forest Stewardship Plans (FSP’s).

In response to the question as to why any areas are left open, Kerry responded that in Gorman’s three reported instances where access control was not met, this was for silvicultural access reasons.

Indicator 19 - Percent Of Cutblocks Harvested In Which Soil Disturbance Exceeds Level Specified In Plan

Results Reviewed, compliance noted. No further discussion ensued

Indicator 20 - Incidents Of Non-Conformance With Government Direction On Recovery Plans For Regionally Significant Species

Results Reviewed, compliance noted. No further discussion ensued

Indicator 21 - Percent Of Permanent Status Roads That Have Had Inspections Completed As Per Plans.

Rob: Tolko has similar tracking issues as with the grass-seeding described in Indicator 11. These are being worked on.

Indicator 22 - Percent Of Temporary Status Roads Inspected At Least Once Per Year Until Road Has Been Rehabilitated.

The target for this indicator was not met

Rob K: Tolko has a number of issues related to the track of road maintenance activities. Part of the issue is the realignment of duties within their 3 zones of operation and unclear assignment of some specific responsibilities. Hopefully the problem has now been resolved.

Indicator 23 - Percent Of The Licences' Forest Operations Employees And Primary Contractors Trained In Spill Preparedness And Response Procedures.

Dave G: reported that in the case of BCTS, the non-conformance related to a number of small, short-term contractors (couple of days) who did not receive the training. Measures now in place to ensure this does not recur

Indicator 24 - Number Of Legally Reportable Spills.

Results reviewed, further details include:

Tolko incident occurred while unloading machinery from low-bed. Hydraulic hose burst. This incident occurred on a road, not near any streams/waterbodies.

Scott: what is the minimum reportable spill/

Dave: in the case of antifreeze, it is 5L.

Indicator 25 - Harvest Level.

Results reviewed, wide ranging discussion on the difficulties of reconciling harvest allocation (AAC) with actual harvest in any given year.

Scott: How do we know if we are achieving anything?

Kerry: Difficult, new standard has content requirements on carbon cycling which may dictate a different approach. Resolved to wait for the implementation phase of the new standard before potentially altering this indicator.

Indicator 26 - Report Annual Initiatives/Partnerships.

Results reviewed, no further discussion ensued

Indicator 27 - Level Of Compliance With Preservation, Retention And Partial Retention Of Visual Quality Objectives In Licensee Plans.

Results reviewed, no further discussion ensued

Indicator 28 - Report on:

- **OSLRMP committee involvement**
- **Number of Forest Development Plan/Forest Stewardship Plan meetings attended**
- **Number of stakeholder meetings attended**

Results reviewed, no further discussion ensued – other than mentioning of “Water Highway BC” a water quality monitoring promotion group

Indicator 29 - Incorporation Of Traditional Knowledge, Non-Timber Resources, And Cultural And Spiritual Values In Forest Planning, Where Available.

The following examples were cited in response to question as to what types of issues are typically raised:

- BCTS: Historic trail noted on block. A WTP was relocated to accommodate the trail.
- Tolko: Similar issues of Culturally Modified Trees (CMT's) and trails.

Indicator 30 - Report Educational And Research Initiatives.

Results reviewed, no further specific discussion ensued

Indicator 31 - Percent Response To Written Communications Received.

Results reviewed, no further specific discussion ensued

Indicator 32 - Report Annually On The Number Of First Nation Partnerships.

Results reviewed, no further specific discussion ensued

Indicator 33 - An Effective Public Advisory Group

Results Reviewed, lowest ranking PAG survey responses reviewed by the group. Facilitator encouraged all PAG members to share information and articles of interest amongst the group as a means of keeping up interest between meetings. Specific Action Items include:

Action Item: Update PAG Questionnaire #10

Action Item: Include “Table of Acronyms” in the Monitoring Report. This can be the same as the current table on Page 89 of the SFM Plan.

Indicator 34 - Educational Forums

Results reviewed, no further specific discussion ensued

Indicator 35 - Public Awareness Of The SFMP

Facilitator: suggested PAG members actively promote the existence and role of this group at other resource user meetings.

Scott: feels that this process could be used to more effectively promote the industry.

Don: feels the public has lost the feel for what’s going on in the forest.

Indicator 36 - Percentage Of Cutblocks Where Coarse Woody Debris Piling Is Necessary To Achieve Silviculture Activities That A Proportion Was Then Retained In Loose Piles Or Windrows Within 50 Meters Of Timber Edge Or Riparian Management Areas.

Don G: Where/why are piles required

Rob K: Generally, piling is required for silvicultural success; however, it seems we are getting better at reforestation without debris piling

Don: commented that he participated in two audits and was generally happy with what he saw.

4.0 Progress Review of new Z809-08 Standard

Facilitator: Outlined the role of Pat Salm and the time frame in the Kamloops TSA for rewriting the plan to the new standard - to have at least a draft ready before summer 2009. The 1st PAG meeting in Kamloops is slated for April 28th. 5-6 are planned before the end of June. The idea is that the Kamloops product will serve as a template for further discussion and refinement in adjacent TSA’s (Okanagan and Merritt specifically). It was resolved that as SFM information (e.g. the new training package) comes out we should evaluate the need for a meeting before summer ‘09

Action Item: Mark/Pat to keep Okanagan TSA PAG advised of progress on developing the new indicators.

Action Item: Evaluate and distribute Training Package to PAG. Determine the need for a meeting before summer ‘09

Action Item: Paul to review available information on red and blue-listed species

5.0 West Bank First Nation Community Forest (Grant Thompson)

Grant has been attending the last few PAG meetings as an observer, in part to gather input on the process if they (West Bank FN) decide to pursue certification.

The West Bank FN Community Forest straddles the Okanagan connector.

Last week there was a legislative amendment that removed the provisional nature of their tenure and they have been offered a full 25 year replaceable licence. WFN recognizes they will have to go down the certification road at some point, CSA would be the easiest to fit with, but they are undecided on which certification to pursue at this point.

In response to a question, Grant said there are 50-55 Comm. Forest Licences which may also be converting.

6.0 Upcoming Audits

Licencees encouraged any PAG members who can do so to attend their upcoming field audits, dates are:

Tolko:	May 19-22 (right after the Victoria Day long weekend)
Gorman:	Week of October 5 th
BCTS:	October, '09 (precise date TBA)
Weyerhaeuser:	1 st week of November, '09

7.0 Next Meetings

The following dates have been selected for subsequent PAG meetings, Locations TBA

- **Field Trip – Thursday September 10, 2009**
- **Fall Meeting – Thursday, November 26, 2009**

Note that there may be the need for some additional meetings to deal with the details of implementing the standards, when finalized.

Okanagan Sustainable Management Plan - Public Advisory Group Action Tracker

Action #	Creation Date	Action	Responsibility	Due Date	Completed	Comments
AI-1	27-Nov-07	Circulate copy of Don Dobson Risk Assessment amongst Licencees	ReneeClark	31-Dec-07	Complete	
AI-2	27-Nov-07	Licencees will circulate Road Risk rating System amongst themselves for possible standardization	Paul Ross	31-Jan-08	Complete	Information circulated, standardization not yet complete
AI-3	27-Nov-07	Revise summary table for Indicator 8 to include both "Gross' and "THLB" areas for the DFA. Expand TSR info in body of report	Licencees	31-Jan-08	Complete	The table was revised in the revised in 2008 Plan
AI-4	27-Nov-07	Update Plan and Monitoring report text for outdated administrative references (e.g. Forest Practices Code)	Mark Hopkins	March 31, 2008	Complete	Completed as part of revisions to 2008 Plan. Reference to FDP removed except where appropriate in historic context.
AI-5	27-Nov-07	Have draft proposal for possible re-wording of Indicator #21 available for Spring monitoring meeting.	Scott Smith	March, 2008	outstanding	Scott will review minutes of Fall 2007 meeting and draft proposal for Spring 2009 meeting
AI-6	27-Nov-07	Strive to schedule future meeting dates on Thursdays	Mark Hopkins	N/A	completed	All scheduled meeting dates are currently on Thursdays.
AI-7	April 10, 2008	Should look at revising the term "rare ecosystem" in plan to be more consistent with current requirements.	Licencees	Spring 2009	On-going	For discussion at Spring 2009 mtg.
AI-8	April 10, 2008	Look at distribution of Clearcut areas relative to the indicator objective as part of Fall 2008 Field trip	Licencees	Sep. 4, 2008 Field review	completed	This was reviewed in the field at the September 2008 Field trip
AI-9	April 10, 2008	should the target be revised in light of record of significantly surpassing the 80% of blocks stub requirement	Licencees	Sep. 4, 2008 Field Review	completed	This was reviewed on the September 2004 field trip. Subsequent discussion at Nov 2008 PAG meeting concluded no need to alter target
AI-10	April 10, 2008	Seek to identify suitable expert on CWD for presentation on topic at Fall mtg. also include as part of Fall field tour if possible.	Facilitator/ BCTS	Spring 2009 Mtg.	On-going	This was discussed with Walt Klenner (MoF wildlife) on the Sep 4, 2008 Field Trip. Facilitator to approach Don Druck regarding presentation on

						topic at next PAG meeting
AI-11	April 10, 2008	for consideration by licencees- is it possible to refine the report to be more informative on the types of reserves included in blocks. This topic too should be addressed during field trip if possible.	Licencees	For discussion during revisions to new indicators	On-going	Was discussed on Sep 4 th Field rip BCTS - no specific conclusions
AI-11.5	April 10, 2008	Licencees to investigate possibility of leaving more vegetation along R/W's	Paul Ross	Fall PAG Mtg	Completed	Range of Possibilities discussed at Nov 27, 2008 PAG meeting. See AI - 18
AI-12	April 10, 2008	As part of Indicator #17 Licencees will consider tracking the use of herbicides over time.	Licencees	October 31, 2008	Completed	Licencees will incorporate tracking of herbicide use into the annual monitoring report
AI-13	April 10, 2008	Indicator #25 Error noted in monitoring results table, facilitator will revise and circulate errata.	Facilitator	April 18, 2008	Completed	Amendment was circulated to all who received the Monitoring Report
AI-14	April 10, 2008	AI: Rob Kennett will find out status of provincial SFM initiative	Rob Kennett	By October 31, 2008	Completed	Rob reported on the status of the provincial initiative at the Nov 27, 2008 PAG meeting
AI-15	April 10, 2008	AI: Kerry R. will talk to Tom Sullivan (small mammal expert) about participation in Fall field trip and/or fall meeting	Kerry R.	July, 08	Completed	Tom Sullivan was unavailable. Walt Klenner filled this role and participated in the Sep 4, 2008 Field Trip
AI-16	April 10, 2008	Licencees should consider ways and means of expanding/ improving representation on the PAG.	All	Fall 2009	On-going	PAG members should Endeavour to bring a friend or associate to the next PAG Mtg. or Field Trip
AI-17	April 10, 2008	Can accumulations of debris be tracked by volume? Is there some way to track the volume or proportion which is burned? AI: this issue will be considered by the licencees.	Licencees	Spring 2009	On-going	Discussion deferred to Spring 2009 PAG meeting. Inadequate time at the Fall meeting
AI -18	Nov 27, 2008	In follow-up to Action Item 11.5 New policy released on Cutting Permits/	Paul Ross	Dec 31, 2008	Completed Dec, 2008	The information has been supplied and distributed by Paul Ross

		Road permits and Vegetation management with Right-of-way. <u>Paul to forward copy of New policy to Facilitator for distribution to PAG</u>				
AI-19	Nov 27, 2008	BCTS has hired a contractor to develop management plans for rare ecosystems (plant communities). <u>BCTS will distribute to licencees and PAG when completed.</u>	Dave Gill	Spring 2009		As of the April 9, 2009 PAG mtg. BCTS had only just received the information. It is very long and needs consolidation before distribution
AI-20	Nov 27, 2008	Implement changes to PAG Terms of Reference approved in Nov 27, 2008 Minutes	Mark Hopkins	Spring 2009	Dec, 2008	Done and attached to the minutes of the Nov 27 th PAG meeting
AI-21	Nov 27, 2008	Rob Kennett will forward written evaluation of pro's and con's of SFM Plan amalgamation to the Committee	Rob Kennett	Spring 2009	Done	Amalgamation refers to the proposed merging of Kamloops, Merritt and Okanagan TSA's SFM Plans – will not proceed, plan shelved as comm. by Rob at April 9, 2009 PAG mtg.
AI-22	Nov 27, 2008	Ted to forward newly developed beetle policy to facilitator for distribution to the PAG.	Ted McCrea	Dec 31, 2008	Dec, 2008	Distributed in Dec, 2008
AI-23	Nov 27, 2008	Post Juergen Hansen's Dynamic Round table documents to the SFM Website for review by the PAG	Mark Hopkins	Nov 29, 2008	Completed.	
AI-24	Nov 27, 2008	Licencees to arrange a meeting with Summerland Council +/- or the broader community to discuss current Beetle management approaches in the Summerland watershed.	Licencees	Spring 2009	Completed	In lieu of the specific mtg., a S. Interior Action Comm. has been struck doing workshops around SI. PIB and Summerland council had reps in attendance. Upcoming tour in Trout Cr. Area with Forex info to be distributed
AI-25	Nov 27, 2008	Licencees will detail the range of additional beetle management measures which <u>could</u> be implemented, if economics were not considered.	Licencees	Spring 2009	Completed	Discussed in detail at the April 9 th , 2009 mtg.
AI-26	Nov 27, 2008	Licencees will summarize the range of measurement options for possible use in developing a water <u>quality</u> related indicator.	Licencees	Spring 2009	On-going	Discussed at length at April 9, 2009 PAG Mtg. Deferred to future discussions on topic as it relates to the Z809-08 document

AI-27	April 9, 2009	Suggested that the facilitator check on the status of Morrie Thomas as he has not attended the last few meetings.	Facilitator	Spring 2009		
AI-28	April 9, 2009	Each Licencee should provide contact information to the water purveyors in the event of a spike in turbidity or other exceeded objective.	Licencees	Spring, 2009		
AI-29	April 9, 2009	The outdated terminology in the current plan and report needs to be updated in the re-write.	PAG/Facilitator	2009		Timetable for re-write of standards not yet determined.
AI-30	April 9, 2009	Ensure that indicator 6 is specifically reviewed for regulatory relevance during SFM re-write process.	PAG/Facilitator	2009		Timetable for re-write of standards not yet determined.
AI-31	April 9, 2009	Should consider re-write of this indicator 10 under the Z809-08 standard or its removal to the text of the SFM Plan. Noted that it may be a core requirement of the new standard.	PAG/Facilitator	2009		Change to made (one way or he other) in next Plan/Monitoring Report.
AI-32	April 9, 2009	Update PAG Questionnaire #10	Facilitator	2009		Will make change on 2009 Monitoring Report questionnaire.
AI-33	April 9, 2009	Include "Table of Acronyms" in the Monitoring Report. This can be the same as the current table on Page 89 of the SFM Plan.	Facilitator	2009		Will make change on 2009 Monitoring Report questionnaire.
AI-34	April 9, 2009	Mark/Pat to keep Okanagan TSA PAG advised of progress on developing the new indicators.	Facilitator	2009		As information comes available
AI-35	April 9, 2009	Evaluate and distribute Training Package to PAG. Determine the need for a meeting before summer '09	Facilitator	2009		As information comes available

AI-36	April 9, 2009	Paul to review available information on red and blue-listed species	Paul Ross	Fall 2009		
-------	---------------	---	-----------	-----------	--	--

